Hi Mark,

I just wanted to clarify your claim yesterday that Pearson's linear correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) = the slope ( $\beta$ ) estimated from a linear least squares fit of  $y = \beta x + \alpha$  on the same data.

For two random variables x and y, the linear correlation coefficient is defined:

$$\rho = \frac{\left\langle \left(x_i - \langle x \rangle\right) \left(y_i - \langle y \rangle\right) \right\rangle}{\sqrt{\left\langle \left(x_i - \langle x \rangle\right)^2 \right\rangle \left\langle \left(y_i - \langle y \rangle\right)^2 \right\rangle}} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

The slope derived using linear least squares (minimising MSE etc..) with y regressed on x:

$$\beta = \frac{\left\langle \left(x_{i} - \langle x \rangle\right) \left(y_{i} - \langle y \rangle\right) \right\rangle}{\left\langle \left(x_{i} - \langle x \rangle\right)^{2} \right\rangle} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}$$
$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\rho = \beta \left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sigma_{y}}\right)} \tag{1}$$

Two points are noteworthy:

1. Eqn (1) holds for any two random variables in general ( $\sigma_v \neq 0$ ) and  $\beta = 0 \Rightarrow \rho = 0$  naturally as you claim.

2. However, if we transform the x and y data to z-scores so that they have zero mean and unit variance, ie:

$$x_i \to x_i^{'} = \frac{x_i - \langle x \rangle}{\sigma_x} \text{ and } y_i \to y_i^{'} = \frac{y_i - \langle y \rangle}{\sigma_y}, \text{ then } (\sigma_x^{'} / \sigma_y^{'}) = 1 \text{ and we get:}$$

$$\boxed{\rho = \beta} \tag{2}$$

 $\rho = \beta$  (2) when  $\rho$  and  $\beta$  are both computed from the new data  $x'_i$  and  $y'_i$ .

So it's important to note that when one has no knowledge of how two datasets are distributed, one cannot immediately claim that  $\rho = \beta$ . If the *x*, *y* data are related by a scale factor (eg. the price of diamonds versus the salaries of the people who mine them), then  $\beta$  subsumes this scale dependence and it's possible that  $|\beta| > 1$ . In this case, their standard deviations (or relative spreads) are needed to estimate  $\rho$  via eqn (1). With proper transformation of the data to z-scores, your claim is correct!

Cheers, Frank